Ontario Superior Court of Justice

Between:

M.M.

Petitioner



and

J.H.

Respondent

PETITION FOR DIVORCE

TO THE RESPONDENT

A LEGAL PROCEEDING FOR A DIVORCE HAS BEEN COMMENCED AGAINST YOU by the petitioner. The claim made against you appears on the following pages.

IF YOU WISH TO DEFEND THIS PROCEEDING, you or an Ontario lawyer acting for you must prepare an answer in Form 69D prescribed by the Rules of Civil Procedure, serve it on the petitioner's lawyer(s) or, where the petitioner does not have a lawyer, serve it on the petitioner, and file it, with proof of service, in this court office, WITHIN TWENTY DAYS after this petition is served on you, if you are served in Ontario.

If you are served in another province or territory of Canada or in the United States of America, the period for serving and filing your answer is forty days. If you are served outside Canada and the United States of America, the period is sixty days.

Instead of serving and filing an answer, you may serve and file a notice of intent to defend in Form 69J prescribed by the Rules of Civil Procedure. This will entitle you to ten more days within which to serve and file your answer.

If this petition for divorce contains a claim for support or a division of property, you must serve and file a financial statement in Form 69K prescribed by the Rules of Civil Procedure within the time set out above for serving and filing your answer, whether or not you wish to defend this proceeding. If you serve and file an answer, your financial statement must accompany your answer.

IF YOU FAIL TO SERVE AND FILE AN ANSWER, A DIVORCE MAY BE GRANTED IN YOUR ABSENCE AND WITHOUT FURTHER NOTICE TO YOU, JUDGMENT MAY BE GRANTED AGAINST YOU ON ANY OTHER CLAIM IN THIS PETITION AND YOU MAY LOSE YOUR RIGHT TO SUPPORT OR DIVISION OF PROPERTY. IF YOU WISH TO DEFEND THIS PROCEEDING BUT ARE UNABLE TO PAY LEGAL FEES, LEGAL AID MAY BE AVAILABLE TO YOU BY CONTACTING A LOCAL LEGAL AID OFFICE.

NEITHER SPOUSE IS FREE TO REMARRY until a divorce has been granted and has taken effect. Once a divorce has taken effect, you may obtain a certificate of divorce from this court office.

Date: June 15, 2004

Issued by:

Local registrar

Address of court office: 393 University Avenue, Toronto, ON

Stephanie Sroka

Form 69A: Petition for Divorce (Page 2)

Court File Number

To:

J.H.

c/o Borden Ladner Gervais LLP

Barristers & Solicitors

Scotia Plaza, 40 King Street West

Toronto, ON M5H 3Y4

Julie K. Hannaford

Tel: (416) 367-6206

Fax: (416) 361-2710

Solicitors for the Respondent

CLAIM

(State precisely everything you want the court to include in the judgment. If you claim support or a division of property, set out the nature and amount of relief claimed and the amount of support claimed for each dependant. If you want to include provisions of a separation agreement in the judgment, refer to the specific provisions to be included.) (If relief is claimed under any other Act, refer to the Act in the claim.)

- 1. The petitioner claims:
 - (a) a divorce; and
 - (b) an Order that the definition of spouse in section 2(1) of the *Divorce Act*, R.S.C. 1985, c. D-3.4 is unconstitutional and offensive to the parties' rights under section 15 of the *Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms*, Part I of the *Constitution Act*, 1982, being Schedule "B" of the *Canada Act* (U.K.), 1982, c.11, cannot be saved under section 1, and should be judicially amended by removing the words "to each other".
 - (c) costs payable by the Attorney General of Canada in the event this relief is opposed.

GROUNDS FOR DIVORCE

/	2(a) Separation
	The spouses have lived separate and apart since (date) June 23, 2003
	The spouses have resumed cohabitation during the following periods in an unsuccessful attempt at reconciliation: Date(s) of cohabitation (if None, state "None"): None.
	2(b) Adultery The respondent has committed adultery. Particulars are as follows:
	2(c) Cruelty The respondent has treated the petitioner with physical or mental cruelty of such a kind as to render intolerable the continued cohabitation of the spouses. Particulars are as follows:

RECONCILIATION

- 3. There is no possibility of reconciliation of the spouses.
- 4. The following efforts to reconcile have been made: (Give details. Where no efforts have been made, state "None".)

 None.

DETAILS OF MARRIAGE

(Where possible, copy the information from the marriage certificate.)

- 5. Date of marriage: June 18, 2003
- 6. Place of marriage: (municipality and province, state or country)

	•				
For	m 69A: Petition for Divorce (Page 4)	Court File Number			
	Toronto, ON				
7.	Petitioner's surname immediately before marriage:				
8.	Petitioner's surname at birth:				
9.	Respondent's surname immediately before marriage:				
10.	Respondent's surname at birth:				
11.	Marital status of Petitioner at time of marriage: (never married, divorced or widower)	Divorced			
12.	Marital status of Respondent at time of marriage: (never married, divorced or widow)	Divorced			
13.	Petitioner's birthplace: (province, state or country)	Toronto, Ontario			
14.	Petitioner's birth date: April 30, 1963				
15.	Respondent's birthplace: (province, state or country)	Ottawa, Ontario			
16.	Respondent's birth date: July 4, 1943				
	of the spouses has been filed with the cou (b) It is impossible to obtain a certificate of the (c) A certificate of the marriage or its registrat	tion of the marriage rt. e marriage or its registration because: ion will be filed before this action is			
	set down for trial or a motion is made for ju	Jagment.			
	RESIDENCE				
18.	. The petitioner has resided in <i>(municipality and province, state or country)</i> the City of Toronto since <i>(date)</i> birth				
19.	. The respondent has resided in <i>(municipality and province, state or country)</i> the City of Toronto for all of her adult life				
20.	The respondent's current address is: c/o Borden Ladner Gervais LLP, Barristers & Solicit Toronto, Ontario, M5H 3Y4	ors, Scotia Plaza, 40 King Street West			
21.	The petitioner respondent has habitually resided in Ontario for at least one year commencement of the proceeding.	ar immediately preceding the			

CHILDREN

22. The following are all the living children of the marriage as defined by the *Divorce Act* (Canada): There are no children of the marriage.

1 ne	e chiic	iren oraina	arily reside	In (munic	eipality and provin	ce, state	or country)	
23.	(a)						stody of the following children o	n
		The response	ondent	agr				
		with the a	bove terms		s not agree			
/Str	ike out	if not applica		-				
, •			•	seeking	an order for cus	stody and	d	
		is co	ntent that a	reviou	s court order for	custody	r continue in force	
		is att	empting to	obtain a	n order for custo	ody in an	other proceeding	
			ulars of whi			,		
		•				of the or	der or proceeding.)	
	(c)	the respo	ndent have	e an orde	•	the follo	angements) and is content that wing children on the following on page 2)	
		The response	ondent	agr	ees			
		with the a	bove terms		s not agree			
	o dota (a)	The follow	ving are the	e existinç			access) for the spouse who do	es
		not have	the childrer	n living w	ith him or her:			
	(b)	The existi	ing visiting	arranger	ments (access) (are 🔲	satisfactory	
							not satisfactory	
		(If not satis i	factory, give r	reasons an	d describe how the	arrangem	rents should be changed.)	
25.	The reas		ght in paraç	graph 23	is in the best in	t erests c	of the children for the following	
26.							e spouses are expected to affe access) in the future:	ct
27.	(a)	The existi	ng arrange	ements b	etween the spoi	ises for	support of the children are as	
#	lmour be pa		Time peri reekly, month		To be paid by (Husband or wife)		To be paid for (Name of child)	

Form 69A: Petition for Divorce (Page 6)				Court File Number			
1	he p		Time period (weekly, monthly, etc.)	To be paid by (Husband or wife)	To be paid for (Name of child)		
	(b)	(If not l	xisting support arrange peing honoured, specify hove or all of the unpaid amount,	v much is unpaid and	are being honoured. are not being honoured. for how long. If you seek an order for payment in the claim above.		
	(c)		etitioner proposes that s: <i>(Be sure that this para</i>	• •	ngements for the children should be as		
1	he p	nt to	Time period (weekly, monthly, etc.)	To be paid by	To be paid for (Name of child)		
28.			tional needs of the chil	dren	are being met. are not being met.		
			OTHER	COURT PROC	EEDINGS		
29.	29. The following are all other court proceedings with reference to the marriage or any child of the marriage: (Give the name of the court, the court file no., the kind of order the court was asked make and what order, if any, the court made. If the proceeding is not yet completed, give its current status.) Not Applicable.						
		DON	IESTIC CONTRAC	TS AND FINAI	NCIAL ARRANGEMENTS		
30.	finar	ncial ar	rangements: (Indicate v	vhether the contrac	stic contracts and other written or oral tor arrangement is now in effect, and if ount that has not been paid.)		
	Da	nte	Nature of contr arrangeme		Status		
Ap	ril 30	, 2004	Separation Agreemerall issues between the arising from their maits breakdown, include possession, ownership division of property support.	e parties arriage and ding ip and	In full force and effect.		
			COLLUSION, CO	NDONATION A	AND CONNIVANCE		

31. There has been no collusion in relation to this divorce proceeding.

(Strike out this paragraph if the divorce is sought on the ground of separation only.)

32. There has been no condonation of or connivance at the grounds for divorce in this proceeding. (Where there has been condonation or connivance, strike out the previous sentence. Give details and set out the facts relied on to justify a divorce in the circumstances.)

MATTERS OTHER THAN DIVORCE AND CUSTODY

33. The grounds for the relief sought in paragraph 1, other than a divorce or custody, are as follows:

The definition of spouse is unconstitutional following the binding authority of M. v. H., [1999] 2 S.C.R. 3 and Halpern v. Canada (Attorney General), [2002] 60 O.R. (3d) 321 (Div. Ct.). The remedy requested is consistent with Schachter v. Canada, [1992] 2 S.C.R. 679 and the Quebec Court of Appeal decision in Ligue Catholique pour les droits de l'homme c. Hendricks, [2004] J.Q. no 2593 (C.A.), which find that the issue of marriage for same sex couples is res judicata and of national application.

TRIAL

34. The petitioner proposes that if there is a trial in this action, it be held at (place). 393 University Avenue, Toronto, ON

	DECLARA [*]	TION OF PETITIONER
35.	I have read and understand this petit best of my knowledge, information a	tion for divorce. The statements in it are true, to the nd belief.
	June , 2004 (Date)	Signature of petitioner
	(Date)	Signature of petitioner
	STATEM	ENT OF SOLICITOR
		his court that I have complied with the requirements of e in the circumstances it would clearly not be appropriate to applicant, set out the circumstances.) Signature of solicitor
		(name, address and telephone number of petitioner's solicitor or petitioner) Epstein Cole LLP Barristers 401 Bay Street, 32 nd Floor Toronto, Ontario M5H 2Y4 Martha A. McCarthy Tel: (416) 862-6226 Fax: (416) 862-2142
		Solicitors for the Petitioner